Frudakis and Shriver Application No.: 10/644,594 Filing Date: August 19, 2003

Page 2

ing Date: August 19, .

## **AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS**

Please amend claim 1, as set forth below.

The current listing of claims replaces all prior listings.

## Listing of Claims:

- 1. (Currently amended) A method of inferring, with a predetermined level of confidence, proportional ancestry of at least two ancestral groups of a test individual by identification of a population structure comprising:
- a) determining single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) for a first population and identifying a first population of SNPs having a frequency differential ( $\delta$ ) > 0.4 between one or more pairs of population groups;
- b) contacting a parental sample nucleic acid with one or more hybridizing nucleic acids corresponding the first population of SNPs, wherein the one or more hybridizing nucleic acids selectively hybridize to the nucleic acid in the parental sample;
- c) selecting SNPs hybridizing in step (b) to generate a second population of SNPs which have a minor allele frequency > 1% and a  $\delta$  > 0.4 for at least one pair of the at least two population groups, wherein at least one of the second population of SNPs is a SNP which may be correlated with but not linked to a gene-linked trait, wherein the second population of SNPs is an autosomal SNP, and wherein the at least one SNP of the second population of SNPs is not located within a gene encoding region;
- d) contacting a sample comprising nucleic acid molecules of a test individual with the second population of SNPs, wherein the second population of SNPs are indicative of a population structure, and wherein the population structure is correlated with a trait of the test individual;
- e) determining the nucleotide occurrences of the second population of SNPs in the sample from the test individual; and

Frudakis and Shriver

Application No.: 10/644,594

Page 3

Filing Date: August 19, 2003

f) identifying the population structure indicated by the nucleotide occurrences determined

Attorney Docket No. DNA1170-2

for the test individual, wherein identifying the population structure infers the proportional

ancestry of the test individual; and

g) providing information resulting from steps (a) through (f) to a user.

2-82. (Canceled)

83. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the first population SNPs are

identified from a database in silico.

84. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the identifying step (a) comprises

demonstrating a  $\delta > 0.4$  between any two of four intercontinental or intracontinental population

groups or determining an Fst >0.4 at an average spacing of about 2-3 cM between any two of

four intercontinental or intracontinental population groups.

85. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the one or more pairs is from at least

two intercontinental or intracontinental population groups.

86. (Previously presented) The method of claim 84, wherein the parental sample is from a human

individual determined to be a Sub-Saharan African, an IndoEuropean, an East Asian, a Native

American, a Northern European, a Continental European, an Iberian, a Northeastern European, a

Middle Eastern European, a South Asian European, or a Southeastern European.

87. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the sample of step (d) is contacted

with at least 200 second population SNPs.

88. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the sample of step (d) is contacted

with at least 100 second population SNPs.

Application No.: 10/644,594

Frudakis and Shriver

Filing Date: August 19, 2003

Page 4

89. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the sample of step (d) is contacted

with at least 20 second population SNPs.

90. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the trait comprises biogeographical

ancestry (BGA).

91. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein proportional ancestry is determined

by employing an algorithm which maximizes a cumulative δ value between, and minimizes a

difference in cumulative  $\delta$  value within, each of the one or more pairs of the population groups.

92. (Previously presented) The method of claim 91, wherein the algorithm inverts population

specific allele frequencies, thereby obtaining a likelihood estimate, or a likelihood based

estimate, of proportional ancestry corresponding to a multilocus genotype.

93. (Previously presented) The method of claim 92, wherein the likelihood estimate is measured

for at least three of the intercontinental or intracontinental population groups simultaneously.

94. (Previously presented) The method of claim 93, wherein the estimate is repeated for all

possible intercontinental or intracontinental population groups.

95. (Previously presented) The method of claim 1, wherein the intercontinental or

intracontinental population groups are delimited as Sub-Saharan African, IndoEuropean, East

Asian, Native American, Northern European, Continental European, Iberian, Northeastern

European, Middle Eastern European, South Asian European, or Southeastern European.

96. (Previously presented) The method of claim 90, wherein the BGA comprises a proportion of

Sub-Saharan African, IndoEuropean, East Asian, Native American, Northern European,

Frudakis and Shriver

Application No.: 10/644,594

Filing Date: August 19, 2003

Page 5

Attorney Docket No. DNA1170-2

European, or Southeastern European ancestral groups, or a combination thereof.

97. (Previously presented) The method of claim 96, wherein the proportional ancestry comprises

Continental European, Iberian, Northeastern European, Middle Eastern European, South Asian

proportions of at least two ancestral groups.

98. (Previously presented) The method of clam 97, further comprising performing a likelihood

determination for affiliation with each of a sub-Saharan African ancestral group, a Native

American ancestral group, an IndoEuropean ancestral group, and an East Asian ancestral group;

selecting three ancestral groups having a greatest likelihood value;

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among the three ancestral

groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure that correlates with

the nucleotide occurrences of the SNPs detected in the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood.

99. (Previously presented) The method of claim 97, further comprising performing six two-way

comparisons comprising likelihood determinations for affiliation between each group with each

other group;

selecting three ancestral groups having a greatest likelihood value;

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among three ancestral

groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure that correlates with

the nucleotide occurrences of the SNPs detected in the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood.

100. (Previously presented) The method of claim 97, further comprising performing three three-

way comparisons among the groups;

Frudakis and Shriver

Application No.: 10/644,594

Filing Date: August 19, 2003

Page 6

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among three ancestral

Attorney Docket No. DNA1170-2

groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure that correlates with

the nucleotide occurrences of the SNPs detected in the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood.

101. (Previously presented) The method of claim 97, further comprising performing six two-way

comparisons, the three three-way comparisons, or one four-way comparison among four

ancestral groups;

determining a likelihood of all possible proportional affiliations among four ancestral

groups having the greatest likelihood value, whereby a population structure that correlates with

the nucleotide occurrences of the SNPs detected in the test individual is identified; and

identifying a single proportional combination of maximum likelihood.

102. (Previously presented) The method of clam 99, further comprising generating a graphical

representation of the comparison of the three ancestral groups, the graphical representation

comprising a triangle with each ancestral group independently represented by a vertex of the

triangle, wherein the maximum likelihood value of proportional affiliation for a test individual

comprises a point within the triangle.

103. (Previously presented) The method of clam 102, wherein the graphical representation

further comprises a confidence contour indicating a level of confidence associated with

estimating the proportional ancestry.

104. (Previously presented) The method of claim 97, further comprising identifying an ethnicity

of the test individual, which comprises identifying a subpopulation structure of the population

structure indicated by the nucleotide occurrences of the SNPs detected in the test individual,

wherein the SNPs are further indicative of a sub-population structure, and wherein the sub-

population structure infers ethnicity of the test individual.

Frudakis and Shriver

Application No.: 10/644,594

Filing Date: August 19, 2003

Page 7

105. (Previously presented) The method of claim 104, wherein the ancestral group is

IndoEuropean, and wherein the ethnicity comprises Northern European or Mediterranean.

106. (Previously presented) The method of claim 97, further comprising generating an ancestral

Attorney Docket No. DNA1170-2

map of the world, wherein locations of populations having a proportional ancestry corresponding

to the proportional ancestry of the individual are indicated on the ancestral map.

107. (Previously presented) The method of claim 97, wherein identifying a population structure

indicated by the nucleotide occurrences of the SNPs detected in the test individual comprises

comparing the nucleotide occurrences of the SNPs detected in the test individual with known

proportional ancestries corresponding to nucleotide occurrences of SNPs indicative of BGA.

108. (Previously presented) The method of claim 107, wherein the known proportional ancestries

corresponding to nucleotide occurrences of SNPs indicative of BGA are contained in a database.

109. (Previously presented) The method of claim 108, wherein the comparing is performed

using a computer.

110. (Previously presented) The method of claim 107, wherein each of the known proportional

ancestries corresponding to nucleotide occurrences of SNPs indicative of BGA further comprises

at least one photograph of a person from whom the known proportional ancestry was determined.

111. (Previously presented) The method of claim 110, wherein the at least one photograph

comprises a digital photograph.

112. (Previously presented) The method of claim 111, wherein digital information comprising

the digital photograph is contained in a database.

Frudakis and Shriver

Application No.: 10/644,594

Filing Date: August 19, 2003

Page 8

113. (Previously presented) The method of claim 112, wherein the digital information in the

Attorney Docket No. DNA1170-2

database is associated with the known proportional ancestry corresponding to nucleotide

occurrences of SNPs indicative of BGA of the person in the photograph.

114. (Previously presented) The method of claim 108, further comprising identifying at least one

photograph of a person having a proportional ancestry corresponding to the proportional ancestry

of the test individual.

115. (Previously presented) The method of claim 114, wherein identifying the photograph

comprises scanning a database comprising a plurality of files, each file comprising digital

information corresponding to a digital photograph of a person having a known proportional

ancestry, and identifying at least one photograph of a person having nucleotide occurrences of

SNPs indicative of BGA that correspond to the nucleotide occurrences of SNPs indicative of

BGA of the test individual.